



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,552	09/18/2003	Bert W. Elliott	25244A	4288
22889 OWENS COR	7590 07/09/200 NING	EXAMINER		
2790 COLUMI	BUS ROAD		CHAPMAN, JEANETTE E	
GRANVILLE,	. ОН 43023		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3635.	
	·		. MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/664,552	ELLIOTT ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Chapman E Jeanette	3635
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) o vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro , cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ag     2a)□ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This     3)□ Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>5,13,14,21,28-30 and 32-40</u> is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-15,21,28-30 and 32-40</u> is/are reject 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the contract of the contrac	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	. 🗖	
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	4)  Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5)  Notice of Informa 6)  Other:	

Art Unit: 3635

The indicated allowability of claims 5, 13-14, 21, 28-30, 32-40 is herby withdrawn in view of the prior art to Steiner, Jr. et al (6174403)

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5, 13-14, 21, 28-30, 32-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Daimond (4148168)in view of King et al (6220329) and Steiner, Jr. et al (6174403). Diamond discloses a method of covering a roof deck comprising:

- Laying a plurality of successive horizontal courses of covering shingles above a starter shingles laid in a side-by-side relationship at an eave edge of a roof deck; see figure 4A;
- The covering singles laid in a side-by-side relationship and horizontally offset from the covering shingles in adjacent courses;
- Each covering shingle includes a headlap portion and a butt portion;
- The butt portion includes a plurality of tabs 22 separated by cutouts 14A;
- Diamond shows a difference in height between the covering and starter shingle; the exact difference has been considered a matter of choice; one of ordinary skill in the art would have appreciated any height diffence wich would provide for the intended purpose and function of the method
- The butt portions of the starter shingles have an overall color appearance

Art Unit: 3635

that is similar to an overall color appearance of the covering shingles

Diamond lacks the starter shingle of the course of starter shingles is a laminated starter shingles comprising an underlay and overlay sheet. Diamond also lacks the starter shingle including an exposed portion extending between tabs of the covering shingles.

Steiner et al discloses laminated shingle layers of various heights; hence one layer can be greater that another; the amount or exact measurement of difference has been considered a matter of choice. One of ordinary skill in the art would have appreciated that change in height causes variations in appearance. See column 2, line 63 through column 3, line 15.

King et al discloses the starter shingle 126 and the course shingles 122 and 124.

King also discloses the starter shingle including an exposed portion extending between tabs of the covering shingles. See figures 6-7. Again, the covering shingle is a laminated covering shingle with a overlay member having a headlap and a butt portion; the underlay member is attached to the rear surface of the butt portion of the overlay member because the underlay member and the overlay member define a laminated covering shingle. The butt portion includes a plurality of tabs separated by cutouts and the cutouts extending through the laminated covering shingle wherein the exposed portion of the starter shingle extends between the tabs of the covering shingles. See figures 6-7. King also discloses the butt portion including a butt edge and the underlay sheer includes a lower edge. The lower edge and the butt edge are vertically aligned to define a lower edge. The lower edge of the covering shingles in the first course is

Art Unit: 3635

vertically aligned with the lower edge of the starter shingles. See figure 10b,1 lb and 6-7.

King et al discloses applying a bead of adhesive to a bottom surface of the underlay sheet; see figures 10b and 1 lb.

In view of the above, it would have been obvious to modify Daimond to include a laminated starter strip and to include the exposed portion of the shingle to strengthen the shingle structure and to provide a more decorative appearance to the shingle structure when applied to any substrate. It would have also been obvious to make the laminate layers of different heights to effect a different and enhanced appearance as taught by Steiner, Jr. et al.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-40 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chapman E. Jeanette whose telephone number is 571-272-6841. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-thursday, 8:30-6:00, every fri. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, NAOKO SLACK can be reached on 571-272-6848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3635

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1900.

PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 3635

Page 5

\*\*\*